

1 Review Chandragiri PhD Thesis

2 immediate

3 September 26, 2020

4 Saber Elaydi, Trinity University, USA

- 5 • I have had difficulties reading this dissertation. This difficulty stems from the fact that the  
6 author is using notations and terminologies that are not standard in the discipline of difference  
7 equations a la the books of Agarwal and Elaydi. The standard notation for an  $n$ -dimensional  
8 linear systems

$$\mathbf{x}_{n+1} = A\mathbf{x}_n \tag{0.1}$$

9 where  $A_{n \times n} = (a_{ij})_{n \times n}$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix and  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

10 or

$$\mathbf{x}_{n+1} = f(x_n, x_{n-1}, \dots, x_{n-k}) \tag{0.2}$$

- 11 • Generating functions are similar and analogous to  $Z$ -transform, where both are used linear  
12 difference equations of finite and infinite order. In particular they may be used to determine the  
13 dynamics and the qualitative behavior of linear systems. A comparison between the generating  
14 function and the  $Z$ -transforms would be an added value. This is, particularly, important for  
15 engineers since they use the  $Z$ -transform in the study of control theory.
- 16 • What is the connection between generating functions and Laplace Transform?
- 17 • Lack of concrete examples makes it difficult for the reader to understand the significance of  
18 the obtained results. The only concrete example that I saw is the example of the Fibonacci  
19 recurrence difference equation).
- 20 • Notations used for matrices should be in consistence with the linear algebra literature. For  
21 instance, the entries of a matrix  $A$  should be written as  $a_{ij}$